

MI TwentyFour Investment Funds

Monument Bond Fund

This Commentary is a marketing communication for professional UK investors only

Market Commentary

October was a highly volatile month for all fixed income assets, both government and credit bonds. Broadly the early part of the month saw significant weakness, especially in the UK as the now well documented LDI fund sell-off was in full swing, though this slowed by mid-month and with Gilts recovering, this initially steadied markets and then prompted a rally in broader credit. ABS bonds lagged behind a little but began to recover closer to the end of the month. New UK prime minister Rishi Sunak appears to be generally regarded as a safer pair of hands than predecessor Liz Truss, and this has reassured markets. US CPI inflation also took centre stage and once again it came in above expectations, but unlike similar relative prints earlier this year, there was no sharp sell-off in risk assets and instead equities and credit rallied – perhaps a sign of just how much bad news was priced into markets.

Following the sharp deterioration in market conditions in the last week of September, it was no surprise to see a limited amount of primary deals coming to the ABS market in October, though conditions did improve later in the month. The highlight was a new issue in UK Prime RMBS, Lanark 2022-2, from Virgin Money's Clydesdale Bank. The deal was initially syndicated as a private book build before it was announced publicly as a fully covered £300m deal. It was subsequently increased to £400m, with the tranche ultimately 2x covered from a good number of accounts. The deal priced at Sonia+82bp, tighter than initial guidance of 85bp area, which was seen as positive for both the issuer and the market. Away from that it was more of a mixed bag. The UK saw a Prime and BTL RMBS deal both retained by the issuers in full, and another established BTL deal fully place the mezzanine tranches but issue the senior AAAs in loan note format to the arranger bank.

In Ireland two reasonably sized RMBS deals, one non-conforming, were largely placed into a receptive client base, though there was not a lot of pricing transparency on the NC deal other than the AAA tranche priced at Euribor+250bp, around 110bp wider than their previous print in March 2022. Volkswagen saw plenty of demand for its AAA STS Auto deal, pricing around €1bn of ECB eligible bonds at a spread of 55bp, versus the issuer's last offering in May at 37bp. Elsewhere in Europe, a Dutch BTL issuer retained all its AAA bonds and pre-placed the mezzanine tranches.

The CLO market also saw the first rumblings of primary issuance in October after a very quiet period. A handful of deals priced with several more being announced into a marketing phase. In general, deals are smaller and not as ramped when priced due to the ongoing low amount of leveraged loans on offer, and some managers have commented that the quality of product has been on the weaker side over the last few weeks. The challenges remain around the arbitrage; the weighted average cost of capital has risen to as high as 350bp this year, considerably higher than the average 160-180bp average range in 2021. This is due to the cost of the liabilities, mainly the AAA tranches still clearing at a discount margin of around 220bp, however there have been windows where the underlying loan prices have sold off enabling managers to add collateral. Year-to-date, European ABS primary issuance is around €71bn, including €21bn of CLOs, which is 27% lower than the end of October 2021, but on pace with 2020.

Secondary markets dominated ABS and CLO trading flows during October. This was triggered by the now well-publicised pension fund LDI sell-off triggered by the UK mini-Budget at the end of September. The volume of RMBS/ABS and CLOs sold on publicly offered BWICs was unprecedented over a three-week period in October, comfortably in the region of around €6bn, and that does not include volumes which were reported to have traded bilaterally. The vast majority of the selling was in the AAA and AA tranches and after the heavy initial waves, selling began to subside towards the latter part of the month as markets became more orderly, though some selling moved on to mezzanine lists.

Interestingly, there was not a large amount of sub-investment grade offered for sale, and this endorses the fact that the sell-off was purely a drive for liquidity from a relative small group of investors and nothing at all to do with any credit performance concerns. However, the simple weight of money quickly led to spreads going wider, particularly in investment grade bonds, and this naturally filtered down into mezzanine tranches too. Selling was met by strong client demand, and levels began to retrace a little and stabilised somewhat into month-end. Any concerns that market participants may have had around liquidity in the ABS market were completely dispelled by the level of trading that took place. Spreads of course were wider, but the volume of selling was fully absorbed. It could even be argued that the level of liquidity available prompted greater selling than might have been expected, given suggestions that when liquidity was sought in other fixed income markets such as corporate bonds, it wasn't available

Portfolio Commentary

ABS market conditions remained challenging in October as the portfolio managers balanced requirements for liquidity. The Fund rotated some shorter duration and called bonds into a new German STS Auto ABS issue to add to AAA senior bonds. The Fund remains at elevated liquidity levels against the current market backdrop. Fundamental bond performance remains good with rating upgrades seen over the month, and the portfolio managers will continue to maintain high levels of due diligence on the underlying portfolio.

The Fund returned -0.14% (Class I Acc Gross) for the month with 3yr volatility at 4.60%.

Market Outlook and Strategy

By the end of October the relentless selling of assets had slowed down considerably, as the market reacted positively to messaging from the newly installed UK government around fiscal prudence going forward, which also led to a very strong rally in the Gilt market. It was believed that by this stage the vast majority of LDI related liquidity selling was largely completed, though secondary market trading is likely to be busy for the next few weeks as clients rebalance portfolios.

The liquidity provided by the ABS and CLO market was extremely strong and clearly appetite remains for performing assets trading at dislocated prices. It's likely that some of this volume was absorbed by dealer balance sheets, which may mean a healthy supply of secondary assets being available into year-end.

Primary issuance in the last few weeks of the year is likely to be muted, though we are likely to see issuers encouraged by the strong demand seen for deals priced in October. However, issuers will be going through a rebalancing of where liability spreads are currently versus the underlying portfolio yield, together with hedging costs in swap markets.

Rolling Performance	29/10/2021 - 31/10/2022	30/10/2020 - 29/10/2021	31/10/2019 - 30/10/2020	31/10/2018 - 31/10/2019	31/10/2017 - 31/10/2018
Class I Acc (Gross)	-4.29%	2.68%	0.20%	1.60%	1.43%

The performance figures shown are in GBP on a mid-to-mid basis inclusive of net reinvested income and net of all fund expenses. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Performance data does not take into account any commissions and costs charged when shares of the portfolio are issued and redeemed.

Key Risks

- **All financial investment involves risk. The value of your investment isn't guaranteed, and its value and income will rise and fall. Investors may not get back the full amount invested.**
- The issuer of ABS products may not receive the full amounts owed to them by underlying borrowers, which would affect the value of the Fund. Credit and prepayment risks also vary by tranche which may affect the Fund's performance.
- Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance, and the Fund may not achieve its investment objective.
- The Fund has the ability to use derivatives, including but not limited to FX forwards, for hedging only (EPM). This may magnify gains or losses.

Fund Managers



Aza Teeuwen
Partner, Portfolio Management, industry experience since 2007.



Douglas Charleston
Partner, Portfolio Management, industry experience since 2006.



Elena Rinaldi
Portfolio Management, industry experience since 2014.



John Lawler
Portfolio Management, industry experience since 1987.



Marko Feiertag
Portfolio Management, industry experience since 2005.



Robert Ford
Partner, Portfolio Management, industry experience since 1986.

Further Information and Literature:
TwentyFour Asset Management LLP

T. 020 7015 8900

E. sales@twentyfouram.com

W. twentyfouram.com

Further information on fund charges and costs are included on our website at www.twentyfouram.com

THIS COMMENTARY IS FOR FINANCIAL ADVISERS AND INSTITUTIONAL/PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS ONLY. NO OTHER PERSONS SHOULD RELY ON THE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT. No recommendations to buy or sell investments are implied. The fund average rating is a weighted average calculation based on each bond's rating according to the fund's rating methodology. When calculating average credit rating, for non-rated bonds an internal rating is applied. If you invest indirectly through a third party provider you are advised to consult them directly as charges, performance and terms and conditions may differ materially. In making any investment into the Fund, investors should rely on the Prospectus and Key Investor Information Document (KIID) provided by the Authorised Corporate Director (ACD) of MI TwentyFour Investment Funds, and not the summary set out in this document. The Prospectus and KIID are available from Maitland Fund Services (UK) Ltd. Hamilton Centre, Rodney Way, Chelmsford, Essex, CM1 3BY or from their website at 'www.maitlandgroup.com'. For definitions of the investment terminology used within this document please see glossary at: <https://twentyfouram.com/glossary> TwentyFour Asset Management LLP is able to assist those institutional clients who require it with meeting their Solvency II (including its UK onboarding and onshoring legislation) obligations. In particular, TwentyFour Asset Management LLP will make all reasonable endeavours to comply with the Solvency II Regulations 2015 Article 256. This financial product does not make any commitment to invest in environmentally sustainable investments in the sense of the EU Taxonomy. The EU Taxonomy specific product disclosure requirements do not apply to this financial product. As the investments of the financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities in the sense of the EU Taxonomy, the "do no significant harm" principle according to the EU Taxonomy does not apply to the investments of the financial product. Please contact the Compliance Department at compliance@twentyfouram.com for more information. TwentyFour Asset Management LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership incorporated in England under Partnership No. OC335015 with its registered office at 8th Floor, The Monument Building, 11 Monument Street, London EC3R 8AF and is authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority, FRN No. 481888.